

February 20, 1985

A bills and more programs than would have resulted otherwise. Now I know two people can look at the identical thing and say, it is doing this and the another guy can look and he can say it is doing the exact opposite. My perception has been that it has actually resulted in easier passage of A bills. Rather than just accept that blindly, I would suggest that some of you go back and check. Check the record on A bills and passage of them with the two systems. I'm basing this on my own experience in being able to kill an A bill or kill a program. You used to be able to kill a couple of them now and then. When they were sitting out there, had to fight for their own life, instead of sitting all on the line together with the entire team of lobbyists out there behind them and a senator in here saying, well if you get me this for this I'll get that for that and, hey, don't get me wrong, I claim to be as good as anybody at it. My point is letting them have to come through individually on their own, I think, I think they would have a more difficult time getting through. I would point out, I have not, despite the number of bill introductions I have made over the years, been a big A bill man in terms of new programs or anything. All my A things or most of them have been revenue producers, litter tax, ton mile tax, lodging tax, LB 343, for example, the central filing was a self-financing system. That's kind of the way I've tried to design most of my legislation. So I kind of enjoy now and then knocking off an A bill or a new program and it's a lot more difficult when they're all meshed together ready for one final assault. And the best examples of that are once you get them all passed, watch how many get vetoed, then watch how many get overridden. Almost all of them get overridden. Just mechanically is what I am talking about, mechanically making them kind of go through the gauntlet single file instead of as a team, you're able I think to make those A bills and those programs have to justify themselves better. However, listening to Senator Warner's suggestion, I'll withdraw the amendment and I will suggest strongly though that you consider some other system than the current system which I believe if you'll take the time to study it has in fact resulted in not single A bills having to defend themselves, but teams of A bills, teams of programs. And when you team up a half a dozen of them or a dozen, they're all going to go or almost all. That's why I'm suggesting you review this and why I am suggesting you change it and as I say, I will withdraw the proposal today. I'll take Senator Warner's suggestion, but I'll also ask